## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Joshua Chad Ambrose,	)	C/A No. 8:15-2762-CMC
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	OPINION & ORDER
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security	)	OPINION & ORDER
Administration,	)	
Defendant.	) )	

Through this action, Plaintiff seeks judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying Plaintiff's claim for Disability Insurance Benefits ("DIB") and Supplemental Security Income ("SSI"). Plaintiff appealed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 405(g). Specifically, Plaintiff challenged the ALJ's assessment of his right arm injury, chronic back pain, and opinion of Dr. Robert Walker. ECF No. 8. The Commissioner argued that there is substantial evidence in the record that Plaintiff was not disabled within the meaning of the Social Security Act. ECF No. 9. The matter is currently before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("Report") of Magistrate Judge Jacquelyn D. Austin, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rules 73.02(B)(2)(a) and 83.VII.02, et seq., D.S.C.

The Report, filed on January 5, 2017, recommends that the decision of the Commissioner be affirmed. ECF No. 11. No objections have been filed and the time for doing so has passed.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* 

8:15-cv-02762-CMC Date Filed 01/27/17 Entry Number 12 Page 2 of 3

determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the court

may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or

recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The court

reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond v. Colonial Life &

Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed

objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself

that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.")

(quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

The court has reviewed the record, the applicable law, and the findings and

recommendations of the Magistrate Judge for clear error. Finding none, the court adopts and

incorporates the Report by reference. For the reasons set forth therein, the decision of the

Commissioner is affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE
Senior United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina January 27, 2017

2

3